

JUL 27 1998

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO: 97-03794

COUNSEL: None

HEARING DESIRED: No

Applicant requests her general discharge be upgraded to honorable. Applicant's submission is at Exhibit A.

The appropriate Air Force office evaluated applicant's request and provided an advisory opinion to the Board recommending the application be denied (Exhibit C). The advisory opinion was forwarded to the applicant for review and response (Exhibit D). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinions stated in the advisory opinion appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been rebutted by applicant. Absent persuasive evidence applicant was denied rights to which entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

Accordingly, applicant's request is denied.

The Board staff is directed to inform applicant of this decision. Applicant should also be informed that this decision is final and will only be reconsidered upon the presentation of new relevant evidence which was not reasonably available at the time the application was filed.

Members of the Board Ms. Martha Maust, Mr. Robert W. Zook and Mr. Kenneth L. Reinertson considered this application on 23 July 1998 in accordance with the provisions of Air Force Instruction 36-2603 and the governing statute, 10 U.S.C. 1552.

  
MARTHA MAUST  
Panel Chair

Exhibits:

- A. Applicant's DD Form 149
- B. Available Master Personnel Records
- C. Advisory Opinion
- D. AFBCMR Ltr Forwarding Advisory Opinion



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE PERSONNEL CENTER  
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS

MAR 18 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR AFBCMR

FROM: HQ AFPC/DPPRS  
550 C Street West Ste 11  
Randolph AFB TX 78150-4713

SUBJECT: Application for Correction of Military Records - [REDACTED]

The applicant, while serving in the grade of airman second class, **was** discharged from the Air Force **19 Oct 53** under the provisions of *AFR 39-16* (Unsuitability) and received an under honorable conditions (general) discharge. She served 01 year, 05 months and 29 days total active service.

Requested Action. The applicant is requesting that her discharge be upgraded to honorable.

Basis for Request. Applicant states that she **did** a good job while in the Air Force and followed all rules of conduct **and** never got into trouble.

Facts. On 03 Sep 53, applicant **was** advised by her commander that she **was** to appear before a Board of Officers who would make recommendation concerning her discharge from the Air Force because of evidence of her **emotional immaturity** and instability, Applicant appeared before a Board of Officers without counsel. Applicant had indicated to various officers that she desired reassignment to another section. Each officer had interviewed her and counseled her, in an attempt to assign her to duties within the squadron. Upon reassignment to a new job, after a period of break-in, she appeared to lose all interest and had been a constant source of trouble in that she could not be counted upon to perform the duties assigned to her. She was seen by the base psychiatrist who after **an 18 day** observation and study, recommended that she be discharged for inaptitude and unsuitability. The discharge board's findings and recommendations were: that the applicant was unsuitable for **further** military service and recommended that she be discharged because of unsuitability and she be given **an** under honorable conditions (general) discharge. The discharge authority approved the Board's recommendation and directed that applicant be discharged under the provisions of *AFR 39-16* and that she be issued **an** under honorable conditions (general) discharge certificate.

Discussion. This **case** has been reviewed and the discharge **was** consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was **within the** sound discretion of the discharge authority and that the applicant was provided full administrative due process. The records indicate member's military service **was** reviewed and appropriate action was taken.

9703794  
.....

**Recommendation.** Applicant did not submit any new evidence or identify any errors in the discharge processing nor provide facts which warrant an upgrade of the discharge she received. Accordingly, we recommend applicant's request be denied. She has not filed a timely request.



JOHN C. WOOTEN, GS-9  
Military Personnel Mgmt Spec  
Separations Branch  
Dir of Personnel Program Management