

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

LCC:tj

Docket No: 6586-98

22 June 1999



Dear PETTY OFFICER

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 June 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by BUPERS Memorandum 1830 PERS 823 Ser 451 of 1 June 1999, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

MAYY PERSONNEL COMMAND 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

1830 Pers823 Ser 451 1 Jun 1999

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ICO

Ref: (a) Pers-00XCB ltr of 31 March 1999

Encl: (1) BCNR File with Microfiche Service Record

- 1. Reference (a) requested comments and recommendations in subject member's case. Specifically, Petitioner requests advancement to his highest paygrade held while on active duty, which was E-6.
- 2. Public Law 100-180, enacted 4 December 1987 (now codified in 10, U. S. C. 6334), provides for advancement on the retired list to the highest grade in which a member served on active duty satisfactorily as determined by the Secretary of the Navy. Legal representatives of the Judge Advocate General of the Navy have determined that only members who transfer to the Fleet Reserve after 4 December 1987 are eligible for consideration to be advanced on the retired list.
- 3. A review of the service record for Petty Office indicates that he was transferred to the Fleet Reserve effective 31 March 1980, and is not eligible for advancement to paygrade E6 on the retired list.
- 4. It is recommended that Petty Officer petition for correction to his records be denied as stated in pargraphs 3 and 4 above. Enclosure (1) is returned.

Head, Enlisted Retirements Branch



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVY PERSONNEL COMMAND 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

5420 Pers-00ZCB/NPC-00ZCB 31 MAR 99

MEMORANDUM FOR HEAD, ENLISTED RETIREMENT BRANCH (NPC-823)

Subj: REQUEST FOR COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN CASE PETTY

OFFICER, USNR, RET,

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. Section 1552

Encl: (1) BCNR File 06586-98 w/Service Record

1. Subject member petitioned the Board for Correction of Naval Records (BCNR) in accordance with reference (a), to correct errors and or remove injustices in the service record.

- 2. The Board requests your comments and recommendations in order to reach a fair and equitable decision in this case. You are therefore requested to review enclosure (1); weighing the issues presented therein with applicable navy policies, regulations, and guidelines, formulate an advisory opinion, and return all correspondence to this office.
- 3. If during your review of enclosure (1) you determine an administrative resolution, within the scope and authority of the Chief of Naval Personnel (CNP) is both available and appropriate, alleviating the necessity for further BCNR intervention, you should prepare a brief but formal commentary advising this office of your action(s).
- 4. If a formal review by BCNR is required, your comments and recommendations should be prepared in a formal format, addressed to the Executive Director, Board for Correction of Naval Records via Assistant for BCNR Matters, Pers-00ZCB, and returned to me in the Jamie Whitten Building (791), room Al04.
- 5. Your response is requested **NLT 30 APR 99.** Extensions may be requested on 874-3043.

Assistant for BCNR Matters