

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TRG

Docket No: 4597-97 15 April 1999



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 13 April 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 2 December 1954 at age 18. The Board found that you received nonjudicial punishment on four occasions and were convicted by two special courts-martial. Your offenses were four periods of unauthorized absence totaling about 23 days, an absence from your appointed place of duty, missing ship's movement, missing muster, and disobedience. On 5 April 1957 you began a period of unauthorized absence. While in an unauthorized absence status you were arrested and convicted by civil authorities of burglary, and sentenced to serve 10 months in the county jail.

Based on your conviction by civil authorities you were processed for discharge. On 28 October 1957 the discharge authority approved the recommendation of your commanding officer that you be discharged for misconduct with an undesirable discharge. You were so discharged on 20 November 1957.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, limited education, low score on the aptitude test, personal problems and your desire for veterans' benefits. The Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your

discharge given your lengthy record of military and civilian misconduct. The Board concluded that the discharge was proper as issued and no change is warranted.

Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director