



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS  
Docket No: 204-01  
11 July 2001

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 11 July 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by the Chief of Naval Operations dated 29 May 2001, a copy of which is attached. The Board also considered your rebuttal statement of 6 July 2001.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Additionally, during your initial period of service you had to serve for four continuous years to be eligible for the Good Conduct Medal and you served for approximately three years and four months. Further, an individual could have no mark below 3.0 in any marking category, and you received several such marks. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records.

Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS  
2000 NAVY PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO

Ser 09B13/1U517092  
29 May 2001

From: Chief of Naval Operations (N09B13)  
To: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
Subj: REQUEST FOR COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE CASE OF  
[REDACTED]

Ref: (a) BCNR ltr AEG:jdh Docket No: 204-01 of 20 Feb 01  
(b) 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) BCNR File  
(2) Service Record

1. As requested by reference (a) and in accordance with reference (b), the Chief of Naval Operations has reviewed subject man's case.
2. The USS NASHVILLE did not qualify for any Vietnam service medals during the time period [REDACTED] was onboard.
3. Enclosures (1) and (2) are returned as requested.

[REDACTED]  
By direction

JUN 15 2001