

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TRG Docket No: 1349-01 11 July 2001

Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 10 July 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 14 November 2000 at age 24. Subsequently, you were referred to the recruit mental health unit because you were having difficulties in training. On 28 November 2000 you were diagnosed with post traumatic stress disorder and marijuana dependence. The psychologist recommended separation from the Navy.

Based on this recommendation you were processed for an administrative separation by reason of erroneous entry due to drug abuse. In connection with this processing, you elected to waive your procedural rights. On 1 December 2000 the separation authority directed an entry level separation and you were so separated on 6 December 2000. At that time you were not recommended for reenlistment and were assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

Regulations require the assignment of an RE-4 reenlistment code when an individual is separated for erroneous entry due to drug use. Since you have been treated no differently than others separated for that reason, the Board could not find an error or injustice in the assignment of the RE-4 reenlistment code. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director