

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:ddj Docket No: 2914-01 19 June 2001



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 19 June 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CMC memorandum 1000 MMEA of 1 June 2001, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS 3280 RUSSELL ROAD QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO: 1000 MMEA 0 1 JUN 2001

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BCNR DOCKET NO 02914-01 CASE OF GYSGT

1. We have carefully reviewed Gunnery Sergeant request to have his reenlistment date backdated in order to be eligible for payment of a Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB).

2. Gunnery Sergeant reenlisted for four years effective 7 November 1997. Based on the four year reenlistment, his End of Active Service (EAS) should have been 7 November 2001. However, his EAS incorrectly appeared in the Marine Corps Total Force System (MCTFS) and on his Military Identification Card as 18 February 2002 rather than 7 November 2001.

3. Gunnery Sergeant asserts he was unaware the EAS reflected in MCTFS and on his ID card was incorrect until he checked into his duty station in early 2001. Furthermore, Gunnery Sergeant claims he would have submitted for reenlistment earlier had he realized the erroneous EAS prior to checking into his unit in early 2001.

4. We do not recommend Gunnery Sergeants reenlistment date be backdated to 7 November 2000, nor do we recommend he receive SRB payment. The responsibility to ensure correctness of his EAS was his alone. Gunnery Sergeant had ample opportunity to correct his EAS during the last four years but did not. By his own failure to correct his EAS he rendered himself ineligible for SRB.

5. Point of contact is Capt — at (703) 784-9238.