



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TJR
Docket No: 2996-01
23 November 2001

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 14 November 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found you enlisted in the Marine Corps on 31 December 1979 at the age of 17. Your record reflects that you served for two years and four months without disciplinary incident. However, during the period from 24 April to 8 July 1982 you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) on three occasions for three specifications of failure to go to your appointed place of duty, two specifications of possession of marijuana, wrongful use of marijuana, drunk and disorderly conduct, possession of an homemade smoking device, breaking restriction, disobedience, and two periods of absence from your appointed place of duty.

Your record further reflects that on 10 February and again on 1 April 1983 you received NJP for absence from your appointed place of duty and wrongful use of marijuana. On 4 April 1983 you submitted a written request for an other than honorable discharge in order to avoid trial by court-martial for five periods of

unauthorized absence (UA) totalling 17 days and two periods of absence from your appointed place of duty. Your record shows that prior to submitting this request, you conferred with a qualified military lawyer at which time you were advised of your rights and warned of the probable adverse consequences of accepting such a discharge.

Subsequently, your request was granted and your commanding officer was directed to issue you an other than honorable discharge by reason of the good of the service. As a result of this action, you were spared the stigma of a court-martial conviction and the potential penalties of a punitive discharge and confinement at hard labor. On 14 June 1983 you received your sixth NJP for two periods of UA totalling 34 days and disobedience. The punishment imposed was reduction to paygrade E-1 and a \$500 forfeiture of pay. On that same day you were issued an other than honorable discharged.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully considered all mitigating factors, such as your youth and immaturity, quality of service, and the contention that you were to receive a medical discharge. The Board also considered your contention that arbitrary and capricious command actions contributed to the prejudicial or legal error regarding the characterization of your service. However, the Board found the evidence and materials submitted were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given the seriousness of your repetitive drug related misconduct and frequent periods of UA, and your request for discharge to avoid trial. The Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge to avoid trial by court-martial was approved since, by this action, you escaped the possibility of confinement at hard labor and a punitive discharge. Further, the Board concluded that you received the benefit of your bargain with the Marine Corps when your request for discharge was granted and you should not be permitted to change it now. Also, the Board noted that there is no evidence in your record, and you submitted none, to support your contentions. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board.

In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director