

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

SMC Docket No: 03307-01 14 November 2001



Dear First Sergenteen and the second se

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552. You requested removal of the Director, Depot Schools letter 1500 DI SCOL dated 28 October 1988 and the NAVGRAM dated 15 November 1988.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 8 November 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinions furnished by Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) dated 22 May and 13 June 2001, copies of which are attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinions. They found the removal of your fitness report for 3 October to 2 November 1988 by the HQMC Performance Evaluation Review Board did not, in itself, support removing the documents at issue. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official

records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director

Enclosures

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE COF 3280 RUSSELL ROAD QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103 20



MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: PETITION OF FIRST SERGEANT

1. We have carefully reviewed First Sergent request and recommend disapproval for removal of requested documents for the following reasons:

a. The documents that are requested to be removed from First Sergeant cord were in his Official Military Personnel File (OMPF) when he was selected for promotion to First Sergeant. We believe that had he been competitive for selection to Sergeant Major those documents would not have hindered him from being selected for Sergeant Sergeant.

2. Point of contact is Sergeant Manual Annual An



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORF. 3280 RUSSELL ROAD QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO: 1000 MMEA 13 Jun 01

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: PETITION OF FIR

1. We have carefully reviewed First Sergean structure for the following reasons:

a. We recommend the documents stay in First Sergea . OMPF. The documents are factual events that occurred between 28 October 1988 and 15 November 1988 and are not bias in nature. If these documents were removed there would be no record of his disenrollment of Drill Instructor School.

2. Point of contact is Sergeant