

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TRG Docket No: 7126-00 22 August 2001



Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 21 August 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Naval Reserve on 7 August 1992 and reported for two years of active duty on 12 August 1992. The record shows that you received nonjudicial punishment on 5 November 1993 and 22 January 1994 for two short periods of unauthorized absence. The punishments imposed resulted in your reduction to BMSA (E-2) and a suspended reduction to BMSR (E-1).

There are no further disciplinary actions in the record. However, the record shows that you were reduced to BMSR, apparently because of continued misconduct. You were released from active duty on 15 March 1994 with your service characterized as being under honorable conditions by reason of a reduction in force. At that time you were not recommended for reenlistment and were assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board concluded that your disciplinary record was sufficient to support the assignment of the RE-4 reenlistment code. In addition, regulations require the assignment of an RE-4 reenlistment code to individuals who are separated in pay grade E-1 following an extended period of active duty. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director