



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

JRE  
Docket No: 7334-01  
26 February 2002

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 14 February 2002. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you served on active duty in the Navy from 6 November 1997 to 13 November 1998, when you were discharged by reason of a condition, not a disability, interfering with your performance of duty. You were assigned a reenlistment code of RE-4 to indicate that you were not recommended for reenlistment, and not eligible without the prior approval of the Chief of Naval Personnel. Although available records are incomplete, it appears that your discharge was based on diagnosed occupational problems, and adjustment disorder and dysfunctional personality traits, which adversely affected your performance of duty, as well as your belief that you were not suited for military service, your dissatisfaction with the Navy, and your desire to be discharged.

The Board concluded that the basis for your separation is amply supported by the available records, and it was unable to conclude that your discharge or reenlistment code were erroneous. It rejected your unsubstantiated contention that you were misadvised concerning the effect of your reenlistment code. In the absence of evidence which demonstrates that your discharge was erroneous, the Board was unable to recommend any corrective action in

your case. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director