

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:ddj Docket No: 213-03 15 April 2003



Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your deceased spouse's naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 15 April 2003. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your spouse's naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CMC memorandum 1741 MMSR-6/5 of 7 March 2003, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Although there have been recent changes in the law regarding spousal concurrence with a nonelection in the Reserve Component Survivor Benefit Plan these changes are not applied retroactively. In this connection, the Board disagreed with the comments contained in the advisory opinion Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS 3280 RUSSELL ROAD QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO: 1741 MMSR-6/5 7 Mar 03

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BCNR APPLICATION IN THE CASE OF THE LATE COMPANY

Ref: (a) MMER Route Sheet of 27Feb03, Docket No. 0213-03

1. The reference requests an advisory opinion on **Solution** petition to correct **Constant of the second sec** 

2. On 13 December 1989, **Construction** was sent a Notice of Eligibility (NOE) to receive retirement benefits at age 60. That NOE would have afforded him the opportunity to enroll in the RCSBP to provide a monthly annuity to **Construct** in the event of his death. We have no proof that the NOE was received. We received no response to that notification from **Construct** He was, therefore, not enrolled in the RCSBP.

3. However, given the recent changes to the law regarding spousal concurrence with a nonelection of RCSBP coverage, we recommend approval of the petition. We recommend that the RCSBP with full spouse coverage under Option C which would provide a monthly annuity to the effective on 3 June 2000.

Head, Separation and Retirement Branch By direction of the Commandant of the Marine Corps