

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

LCC:ddj Docket No: 2142-03 24 June 2003

		Beider and a second		
	A training of the second se	The second second		
and the second	and notation man			

Deer	a set of the	
Dear	and the second	. Ninder

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 24 June 2003. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CNO memorandum 722- Ser N130G/03U0361 of 27 May 2003, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS 2000 NAVY PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO:

7220 Ser N130G/0300361 27 May 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTIONS OF NAVAL RECORDS

Via: Assistant for BCNR Matters, Pers-00XCB

Subj: REQUEST FOR COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ICO

Encl: (1) BCNR Case File #02142-03 w/Microfiche Service Record

1. Per your request, the following recommendation concerning enclosure (1) is provided.

2. A Career Status Bonus (CSB) GENADMIN Notification message was released to **Constitution** on 5 August 2002 offering him the opportunity to elect the REDUX retired pay system and receive a \$30,000 CSB by his 15th anniversary of active duty. **Example** has an active duty start date (ADSD) of 5 February 1988 making 5 February 2003 his 15th anniversary of active duty.

3. CSB GENADMIN Notification message as well as NAVADMIN 245/01 clearly notified **CSB** that he was under the High 3 retired pay system but eligible to elect CSB/REDUX, and that his election, would be irrevocable by law on his 15th anniversary.

4. The Career Status Bonus (CSB) Election Form, DD Form 2839, in enclosure (1) shows **Constant** signed his CSB election on 18 February 2003 (block 12b). By that time, his failure to elect CSB prior to 5 February 2003 placed him irrevocably under the High 3 retired pay system. There is no evidence in his request that a system or command error caused his failure to sign his election form prior to his 15th anniversary.

5. Recommend disapproval of

