



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No. 05567-06
3 April 2007



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 29 March 2007. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy Reserve on 22 December 1986. You acknowledged on that date that you would be required to undergo remedial training if you failed to meet prescribed physical fitness and/or body fat standards. You served on initial active duty for training from 18 May to 18 July 1987, when you were released from active duty and assigned to a Navy Reserve unit. On 27 June 1988, you were medically diagnosed as obese, and ordered to attend remedial training. On 8 January 1990, you acknowledged that you had not met Navy fitness standards since 1988. You were discharged from the Navy Reserve

on 7 March 1990, for the convenience of the government, by reason of obesity, with a general discharge.

Character of service is based, in part, on one's conduct and overall trait averages, both of which are computed from marks assigned during periodic evaluations. Your conduct and overall trait averages were 2.90 and 3.33, respectively. A minimum average conduct mark of 3.0 was required for a fully honorable characterization of service at the time of your discharge.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your contention that you had received an honorable discharge, but the entry to that effect in your service record was crossed out. The Board concluded that these factors were insufficient to warrant corrective action, given your substandard conduct trait average, as well as your failure to meet Navy fitness and body fat standards. It concluded that the service record entry which indicates you received an honorable discharge was properly lined out since you did not qualify for a fully honorable characterization of service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director