



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 3643-07
5 May 2008

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 April 2008. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 28 October 1980. On 30 July 1981 your commanding officer stated that you had failed to maintain proficiency in rate and that your performance was non-contributory to unit readiness. You received a general discharge by reason of convenience of the government on 7 August 1981. You did not object to the discharge.

Character of service is based, in part, on one's conduct and overall trait averages, both of which are computed from marks assigned during periodic evaluations. Your conduct and overall trait averages were 2.80 and 2.41, respectively. A minimum average conduct mark of 3.0 and 2.7 in overall trait average were required for a fully honorable characterization of service at the time of separation.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, good service, and the contention that you were struck in the back and pushed by an officer. The Board concluded that those factors were insufficient to warrant recharacterization of your service or a change in the reason for discharge, given your lack of effort as well as the fact that your conduct and overall trait averages

were insufficiently high to warrant a fully honorable discharge. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director