



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 02867-08
11 December 2008

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 10 December 2008. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 18 October 2000, and served without disciplinary incident. However, on 16 November 2001, while stationed at the service school command in Great Lakes, Illinois, you were admitted to the Naval Hospital for a psychiatric evaluation. Upon review of your medical record and your personal statement, the psychiatrist recommended an administrative separation due to an adjustment and personality disorder. In addition, he stated that if you were to be retained on active duty, you would present a risk for deterioration in functioning and/or continuing danger to yourself and/or to others. Therefore, on 1 December 2001, you were separated due to a personality disorder, received an honorable discharge and an RE-4 reenlistment code.


The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as

your personal statement, your medical records and the passage of time. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant a change to your reenlistment code. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director