



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 04011-08
10 February 2009

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 5 February 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 24 January 1990, and served without disciplinary incident until 21 March 1991, when you received an nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for an unauthorized absence (UA), but your sentence was suspended for six months. However, on 11 April 1991, your sentence was vacated due to further misconduct.

Shortly thereafter, you received the following NJP's: on 11 April 1991, for UA; on 14 May 1991, for UA; and on 15 May 1992, for the wrongful use of a controlled substance. On 5 June 1992, you were recommended for separation with an other than honorable (OTH) discharge due to drug abuse. On 7 July 1992, the separation authority approved the recommendation, and on 13 July 1992, you were separated with an OTH discharge and an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application,

carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and the passage of time. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the seriousness of your misconduct. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director