



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 04276-08
10 February 2009

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 5 February 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps on 20 January 1975, and served without disciplinary incident until 9 June 1975, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for an unauthorized absence (UA) and disrespect to a superior noncommissioned officer.

Shortly thereafter, you received the following NJP's: on 12 November 1975, for altering your military identification card; on 12 February 1976, for thirteen specifications of UA; and 7 April 1976, for communicating a threat and disrespect in language to a superior noncommissioned officer.

Therefore, on 24 May 1976, you were recommended for separation from military service with a general discharge under the Involuntary Expeditious Discharge Program. On 26 May 1976, the separation authority approved the request, and on 1 June 1976, you were separated with a general discharge and an RE-4


reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and belief that enough time has elapsed to warrant upgrading your discharge. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the seriousness of your misconduct. Further, there is no provision in the law or regulations that allows for recharacterization of service due solely to the passage of time. Furthermore, the Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when you received a general discharge. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director