



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 5702-08
3 July 2008

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 July 2008. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


The Board found that you reenlisted in the Navy on 15 July 1977 after four years of prior active service. You were absent without authority from 21 August 1980 to 30 April 1986. You were separated from the Navy on 25 June 1986, with a discharge under other than honorable conditions, pursuant to your request for discharge for the good of the service in lieu of trial by court-martial for an offense that is not shown in the available records.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and personal problems. The Board concluded that your service was properly characterized as a discharge under other than honorable conditions, given the length of your unauthorized absence. The Board believes that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge was granted, as you avoided the possibility of a conviction by court-martial, being confined at hard labor, and receiving a punitive discharge. You received the benefit of your bargain and should not be permitted to change it now. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names

and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director