



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

SMS
Docket No: 5966-08
26 February 2009

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 25 February 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


On 23 July 1969, you enlisted in the Marine Corps at age 17 with parental consent. On 12 October 1969, you began about a 65 day period of unauthorized absence (UA) that ended on 16 December 1969. On 21 December 1969, you began another period of UA. On 1 December 1970, you were apprehended by civilian authorities and held pending charges. On 25 February 1970, you were convicted in civilian court of grand larceny and received a suspended jail sentence. On 25 March 1970, you were returned to military authorities after being in a UA status for about 94 days. During the period 28 to 31 March 1970, you were in a UA status. On 13 April 1970, you requested an undesirable discharge (UD) for the good of the service to avoid trial by court-martial for two instances of UA totaling 159 days. On 27 April 1970, the separation authority approved your request for a UD for the good of the service to avoid trial by court-martial. On

5 May 1970, you were so discharged. As a result of this action, you were spared the stigma of a court-martial conviction and the potential penalties of a punitive discharge and confinement at hard labor.

The Board, in its review of your entire record, carefully considered all potential mitigation, such as your youth and desire for a better discharge. Nevertheless, the Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your service due to the seriousness of your misconduct. Furthermore, the Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge to avoid trial by court-martial was approved. The Board also concluded that you received the benefit of your bargain with the Marine Corps when your request for discharge was granted and you should not be permitted to change it now. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director