



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 6191-08
25 November 2009

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 24 November 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 11 March 1976. You received nonjudicial punishment on four occasions for offenses that included unauthorized absences, missing movement, dereliction of duty, and larceny.

A special court-martial convened on 7 September 1977 and found you guilty of attempting to damage government property worth over \$21,000.00 and intentional infliction of attempting to inflict grievous bodily harm. The court sentenced you to confinement at hard labor for five months, forfeiture of \$249.00 pay per month for six months, reduction in rate, and a bad conduct discharge. Thereafter, you received nonjudicial punishment for unauthorized absence and making a false official statement. You were separated from the Navy on 27 July 1978 with a bad conduct discharge.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and overall service. The Board concluded that those factors were insufficient to warrant recharacterization of your service, given

your extensive disciplinary record. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director