



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 7317-08
18 August 2008

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 6 August 2008. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 11 July 2005. On 16 August 2005 a clinical psychologist gave you diagnoses of an adjustment disorder with disturbance of conduct, and a personality disorder, not otherwise specified, with borderline, narcissistic and paranoid features, which were considered disqualifying for enlistment and not correctable to meet Navy standards. On 7 September 2005 you received an entry level separation by reason of your failure to meet medical/physical procurement standards, and were assigned a reentry code of RE-4.

The Board noted that applicable regulations require the assignment of an RE-4 reentry code to Sailors who are separated due to their failure to meet medical/physical procurement standards. Your contention that you were in the service for a short period of time does not establish that you were discharged in error or provide a basis for changing your RE code, which was properly assigned to reflect your status at that time. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that

favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director