



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 07771-08
29 May 2009

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED]

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 with attachments
(2) Case summary
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Petitioner, a former enlisted member of the United States Navy, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting, in effect, that her RE-4 reenlistment code be changed.

2. The Board, consisting of Messers. [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 26 May 2009 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. Petitioner enlisted in the Navy on 20 October 1987 and served without disciplinary incident. On 20 November 1988, she was honorably discharged and received an RE-4 reenlistment code due to pregnancy. An individual separated under this reenlistment code is not recommended for reenlistment.

c. Pursuant to the Military Personnel Manual (MILPERSMAN) 1910-124, a reenlistment code should be RE-3B for convenience of the government due to pregnancy unless an RE-4 code is warranted

by the service record. In Petitioner's case, her RE-4 reenlistment code was not warranted based on her service record.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants favorable action.

The Board notes that Petitioner did not receive any disciplinary action during her enlistment, was only discharged due to pregnancy, and therefore, did not warrant an RE-4 reenlistment code. Additionally, the Board concludes that an RE-3B reenlistment code which is authorized by regulatory guidance for individuals who are separated by reason of convenience of the government due to pregnancy is more appropriate than the RE-4 reenlistment code now of record.

RECOMMENDATION:

a. That Petitioner's naval record be corrected by changing the RE-4 reenlistment code, assigned on 20 November 1988, to RE-3B.

b. That any material or entries inconsistent with or relating to the Board's recommendation be corrected, removed, or completely expunged from Petitioner's record and that no such entries or material be added to the record in the future.

c. That any material directed to be removed from Petitioner's naval record be returned to the Board, together with a copy of this Report of Proceedings, for retention in a confidential file maintained for such purpose, with no cross reference being made a part of Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.


ROBERT D. ZSALMAN
Recorder


BRIAN J. GEORGE
Acting Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of

Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e))

and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive director