



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN  
Docket No: 0066-09  
26 October 2009



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 16 October 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 8 August 1990, and served without disciplinary incident until 21 July 1992, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for two specifications of unauthorized absence (UA), and missing ship's movement

Shortly thereafter, you received the following NJP's: on 23 September 1992, for failure to obey a lawful order by drinking alcohol while underage; and on 16 November 1992, for failure to obey a lawful order by drinking alcohol while underage and drunk and disorderly conduct. You were recommended for administrative separation due to misconduct, and you exercised your right to request an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 5 February 1993, the ADB voted to administratively separate you for misconduct with a general discharge. The separation authority approved the recommendation and on 29 March 1993, you were separated for misconduct with a general discharge and an RE-4

reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and belief that enough time has elapsed to warrant upgrading your discharge. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the seriousness of your misconduct. Furthermore, there is no provision in the law or regulations that allows for recharacterization of service due solely to the passage of time. Finally, the Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when you received a general discharge. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director