



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 0075-09
26 October 2009

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 16 October 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 3 May 1989 and served without disciplinary incident until 14 September 1989, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for failure to obey a lawful order by drinking alcohol while underage, disrespect in language and hand gesture, and wrongfully communicating a threat.


Shortly thereafter, you received the following NJP's: on 25 August 1990, for assault consummated by battery and disorderly conduct; and on 16 November 1990, for two specifications of unauthorized absence (UA). You were recommended for separation with a general discharge due to your misconduct. However, you continued your misconduct by going UA for approximately eight months. Upon your return to military control, the separation authority disapproved your commanding officer's recommendation for a general discharge and approved an other than honorable

(OTH) discharge. You waived all of your procedural rights, to include your right to an administrative discharge board (ADB). Therefore, on 25 September 1991, you were separated with an OTH discharge and an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the seriousness of your misconduct. The Board found you waived your right to an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a better characterization of service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director