



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2. NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

REC  
Docket No: 00260-09  
4 November 2009

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 21 October 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 29 March 1983, at age 17. On 22 March 1984, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for failure to go to your appointed place of duty. On 25 August 1984, you received NJP for a period of unauthorized absence (UA). On 7 November 1984, you received an additional NJP for failure to go to your appointed place of duty and disobeying a lawful order. You were counseled and warned that further misconduct could result in administrative separation. On 4 February 1985, you received NJP for destruction of government property and being drunk and disorderly. On 10 April 1986, you were convicted by summary court-martial (SCM) for being in an unauthorized absence (UA) status for a period totaling 26 days and missing your ship's movement.


On 23 April 1986, administrative discharge action was initiated by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. You waived your rights to consult counsel, submit a statement or have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). Your commanding officer forwarded his recommendation that you be discharged under other than honorable conditions by reason of

misconduct. The discharge authority directed an other than honorable discharge by reason of misconduct. On 22 May 1986, you were so discharged. At that time you were assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, and overall record of service. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant changing the reason or characterization of your discharge, given your record of four NJP's and one SCM conviction, and the fact that you were counseled and warned of the consequences of further misconduct, and still committed additional infractions. The Board also noted that you waived the right to an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a better characterization of service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director