



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 01729-09
23 April 2009

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 23 April 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps on 9 March 1999, and served without disciplinary incident until 14 January 2004, when you were convicted at a special court-martial for destruction of government property, destruction of personal property, driving under the influence of alcohol, and disorderly conduct.

Shortly thereafter, on 19 March 2004, you were separated at the end of your required active duty service and received an honorable discharge. However, you received an RE-4 reenlistment code due to your misconduct and not being recommended for retention.


The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and belief that enough time has elapsed to warrant upgrading your RE-4 reenlistment code. Nevertheless, the Board

concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your reenlistment code because of the seriousness of your misconduct. Further, there is no provision in the law or regulations that allows for recharacterization of a reenlistment code due solely to the passage of time. Furthermore, the Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when you received an honorable discharge. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director