



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TJR  
Docket No: 2392-09  
23 February 2010

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 23 February 2010. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 19 February 1986 at age 19 and began a period of active duty on 17 March 1986. You served without disciplinary incident until 18 June 1987, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for a two day period of unauthorized absence (UA). About four months later, on 10 October 1987, you received NJP for a 22 day period of UA.

On 23 March 1988 you received NJP for two periods of UA totalling two days, failure to obey a lawful order, and four periods of absence from your appointed place of duty. The punishment imposed was restriction and extra duty for 15 days. On 24 February 1984 you received NJP for disobedience. The punishment imposed was reduction to paygrade E-1, and a \$400 forfeiture of pay. Nearly a year later, on 16 January 1985, you were convicted by summary court-martial (SCM) of absence from your appointed place of duty and disrespect. You were sentenced to a \$463 forfeiture of pay and reduction to paygrade E-1.

On 5 April 1988 you were notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct and commission of a serious offense. At that time you waived your right to consult with legal counsel and to present your case to an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 3 May 1988 your commanding officer recommended discharge under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct and commission of a serious offense. On 15 May 1985 the discharge authority approved this recommendation and directed separation under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct and on 25 May 1988 you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and desire to upgrade your discharge. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of your repetitive misconduct which resulted in three NJPs and a SCM. Further, you were given an opportunity to defend your actions, but waived your procedural right to present your case to an ADB. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director