



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 3139-09
22 January 2010

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 13 January 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 17 November 1980, and served without disciplinary incident until 29 October 1982, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for failure to go to your appointed place of duty and failure to obey a lawful order by drinking on duty.


Shortly thereafter, you received the following disciplinary actions: on 5 October 1983, you were convicted in civil court for driving under the influence of alcohol with a blood alcohol content of .26; on 1 December 1983, you received NJP for disrespect toward a senior petty officer; and on 15 January 1985, you received NJP for failure to go to your appointed place of duty, willfully disobeying a commissioned officer and failure to obey a written order. Therefore, on 3 April 1985, you were recommended for separation with an other than honorable (OTH)

discharge due to your pattern of misconduct. You were advised of your procedural rights, and waived your right to an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 19 April 1985, the separation authority approved the recommendation and on 30 April 1985, you were separated with an OTH discharge and an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and the passage of time. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant changing the characterization of your discharge due to your misconduct. Furthermore, the Board found you waived your right to an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a better characterization of service. Finally, there is no provision in the law or regulations that allows for recharacterization of service due solely to the passage of time. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director