



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 3262-09
22 May 2009

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 20 May 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you reenlisted in the Navy on 11 December 1992. On 12 July 1996 you were convicted by a summary court-martial of an unauthorized absence, making false official statements, and receiving stolen property. The court sentenced you to a forfeiture of \$450.00, restriction for 30 days, and reduction in rank.


On 20 September 1996 your commanding officer recommended that you be separated from the Navy with a discharge under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct due to the commission of a serious offense. After being informed of the recommendation, you elected to waive the right to present your case to an administrative discharge board. The recommendation was approved by the separation authority, and you were separated with a discharge under other than honorable conditions on 16 October 1996.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully considered your contention to the effect that your attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder contributed to your acts of indiscipline, but found it to be unsubstantiated and insufficient to warrant the approval of your request for corrective action. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and

votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director