



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

SJN  
Docket No: 03292-09  
22 February 2010

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 17 February 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.


After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 28 July 1986 at age 22. During the period from 21 January to 27 October 1988, you received four nonjudicial punishments (NJP's) for five periods of unauthorized absence (UA) totaling 80 days, two instances of disobedience, breaking restriction, failure to go to your appointed place of duty, and two instances of missing movement. Additionally, after your first NJP, you were counseled and warned that further misconduct could result in administrative discharge action. On 28 October 1988, administrative discharge action was initiated by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. You waived your rights to consult counsel, submit a statement or have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 1 November 1988, your commanding officer forwarded his recommendation that you be discharged under other than honorable (OTH) conditions by reason of misconduct. On 9 November 1988, the separation authority directed an OTH discharge by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. However, during the period from 29 December 1988 to 25 January 1989, you received two more NJP's for three periods of UA

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and overall record of service. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given your record of six NJP's, the fact that you were counseled and warned of the consequences of further misconduct, and civil conviction. Finally, the Board noted that you waived the right to an ADB, your best chance for retention or a better characterization of service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director