



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TAL
Docket No: 3787-09
23 February 2010

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 3 February 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You reenlisted in the Navy on 30 August 1979 after more than three years of prior honorable service. On 19 November 1979, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for unauthorized absence (UA) from your unit. On 30 November 1979, you received NJP for a three day period of UA from your unit. On 8 July 1982, you received NJP for UA from your appointed place of duty and operating a motorcycle while intoxicated. On 13 January 1983, you received NJP for four instances of failure to obey a lawful order. On 1 September 1983, you received NJP for missing ship's movement. On 11 May 1984, you received NJP for wrongful use of marijuana. On 11 May 1984, administrative discharge action was initiated to separate you by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse. You waived your rights to consult counsel, submit a statement or have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 18 May 1984, your commanding officer forwarded his recommendation that you be discharged under other than honorable (OTH) conditions. On 7 August 1984, you were again UA from your unit. On 30 August 1984, the separation authority

directed an OTH discharge by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse. On 12 September 1984 you were so discharged in absentia.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and overall record of service. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given the seriousness of your misconduct that resulted in six NJP's and your UA on 7 August 1984, causing the command to administratively separate you in absentia. Finally, the Board found that you waived the right to an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a better characterization of service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director