



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS  
Docket No: 8052-09  
25 October 2010

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record dated 30 July 2009, in which you requested correction of your reason for discharge and your reentry code. The Board did not consider your request for correction of your reentry code, as that request was previously denied, and you have not submitted any new material evidence concerning that request.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 25 August 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 19 April 1993. On 26 August 1993 you received a psychological evaluation that found a passive-aggressive personality disorder. You were considered suicidal and/or homicidal. On 14 September 1993 you received an entry level separation by reason of a personality disorder.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully considered your contention to the effect that you were misdiagnosed but found it unsubstantiated and insufficient to warrant the approval of your request for corrective action. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the

Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director