

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

JRE Docket No. 09541-09 14 June 2010





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 10 June 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You served on active duty in the Marine Corps from 6 September to 19 October 2009, when you were discharged by reason of fraudulent entry based on your concealment of a pre-service history of treatment for a disqualifying mental disorder.

The Board did not accept your unsubstantiated contention to the effect that the psychiatric counseling described in your naval health record was actually a series of post-incident stress management classes you attended due to your work as a volunteer firefighter. In addition, the Board noted that a Navy medical record entry dated 11 October 2005 indicates that, in addition to undergoing therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder prior to enlisting, you had been prescribed antidepressant medication.

In view of the foregoing, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PERLEMENT