



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG
Docket No: 10915-09
14 July 2010



Dear 

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 13 July 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. The Board found that you entered active duty in the Navy on 21 October 1986. You received nonjudicial punishment on five occasions for unauthorized absence, absence from your appointed place of duty (three specifications), disrespect toward a petty officer, dereliction of duty, and breaking restriction (14 specifications). You were notified of pending administrative separation processing with an other than honorable (OTH) discharge due to misconduct (pattern of misconduct). You elected to have your case heard by an

administrative discharge board (ADB), which met and found that you had committed misconduct (pattern of misconduct) and recommended your separation with an OTH discharge. The discharge authority concurred with the ADB's finding and recommendation, and you were so discharged on 16 September 1988, and assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and desire to upgrade your discharge. However, the Board concluded that your OTH discharge should not be changed due to your numerous acts of misconduct. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director