



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG
Docket No: 11080-09
21 July 2010

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 20 July 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. The Board found that you entered active duty in the Navy on 11 July 1977 and were honorably released 10 July 1981. On 13 June 1983, you were honorably discharged from the Navy Reserve and were recommended for reenlistment. On 14 June 1983, you reenlisted in the Navy Reserve for six years. On 25 August 1983, you were placed on probation due to unsatisfactory drill participation. You were warned that further unexcused absences would result in immediate termination and that you would not be recommended for further affiliation with the Navy Reserve. On 1 November 1985, you

were transferred to the Navy Reserve Personnel Center, terminated from drilling Ready Reserve status due to unsatisfactory participation, and not recommended for reaffiliation. On 13 June 1989, you were honorably discharged from the Navy Reserve.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your current desire to serve in the armed forces. However, the Board concluded that your non-recommendation for reenlistment should not be changed due to your unsatisfactory participation. The Board particularly noted that you are 52 years old and would not be able to serve long enough to qualify for a reserve pension if you were allowed to reenlist. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director