



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG
Docket No: 345-10
22 September 2010

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 21 September 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. The Board found that you reenlisted in the Navy on 19 March 1984, after more than three years of honorable service. During your final enlistment, you were convicted at a special court-martial of making a false official statement, wrongfully subscribing under oath a false statement, and wrongful cohabitation in government housing with a woman who was not your wife. You were notified that your commanding officer was recommending you for administrative separation with an other than honorable (OTH) discharge due to misconduct

(commission of a serious offense. You waived all of your procedural rights, including your right to an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 6 May 1985, you received an OTH discharge due to misconduct (commission of a serious offense), and were assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reenlistment code.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, prior honorable service, and the results of a case with similar facts as yours. However, the Board concluded that your discharge should not be changed due to your misconduct. The Board found that you waived your right to an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a better characterization of service. The also Board found that the Navy commander who committed misconduct similar to yours was very fortunate to have been retained on active duty. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that ~~favorable action~~ cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director