



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

REC
Docket No: 01195-10
4 November 2010

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 3 November 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 25 September 1986, and began a period of active duty on 3 December 1986, at age 18. On 5 March 1987, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for being absent from your appointed place of duty. On 15 October 1987, you received NJP for being in an unauthorized absence (UA) status, and making a false official statement. On 16 April 1988, you received NJP for failure to obey a lawful regulation. On 22 April 1988, you were counseled and warned that you needed to correct deficiencies in your performance and that further misconduct could result in an administrative discharge. On 2 May 1988, you received NJP for two instances of being disrespectful toward a petty officer, and three instances of disobeying a lawful order. On 13 May 1988, your commanding officer ordered that a mental health evaluation be conducted. You disclosed that you had thoughts of hurting someone or making them no longer breath. On 13 May 1988, administrative separation action was initiated by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. You waived your rights to consult counsel, submit a statement or have your case

heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). Your commanding officer submitted his recommendation that you be discharged under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct. The discharge authority directed an other than honorable discharge by reason of misconduct. On 3 June 1988, you were so discharged. At that time you were assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, conduct, and overall record of service. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant changing the characterization of your discharge, given your record of four NJP's for misconduct. The Board noted that you waived your right to an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a more favorable characterization of service. In this regard, an RE-4 reenlistment code is required when an individual is discharged prior to the expiration of his term of active obligated service for misconduct and is not recommended for retention. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director