



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG
Docket No: 1630-10
19 October 2010

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 19 October 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. You reenlisted in the Navy Reserve on 4 August 2001 after more than 13 years of honorable service. Your unit was preparing to deploy in 2003, and you stated that you had no one to care for your dependent daughter. When you were asked if your parents could care for her during your deployment, you stated that they lived in the West Indies and you did not want your daughter subjected to a radical culture change. Your command determined that your parents were actually living in the United States. You were notified that you were being administratively separated due to parenthood

with an honorable discharge and assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reenlistment code. You were so discharged in June 2003.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your honorable service and current desire to reenlist in the armed forces. However, the Board concluded that your reenlistment code should not be changed due to your parenthood issues and deception with your command. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director