



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TJR  
Docket No: 3422-10  
2 February 2011

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 1 February 2011. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the correspondence from the Navy Personnel Command (PERS-311) dated 4 June 2007, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you received an adverse performance evaluation for the period from 16 June to 22 November 2006. In this regard, you received a mark of "2.0" in the following categories: Military Bearing/Character, Personal Job Accomplishment/Initiative, and Teamwork; and a mark of "3.0" in the category Command or Organizational Climate/Equal Opportunity.


At that time you were not recommended for advancement, retention, or reenlistment. Shortly thereafter, on 22 February 2007, you were honorably discharged upon completion of your required active service and assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board concluded that your commanding officer's nonrecommendation for reenlistment was appropriate and correctly assigned based on your adverse performance evaluation. Further, the Board concluded that there was no evidence in the record to support a change of the RE-4 reenlistment code. Finally, the

Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the PERS-311 correspondence regarding your request to change block 20 of your evaluation report, and noted that you should also contact BUPERS for any administrative changes and/or corrections to your record. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. As stated above, you are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure