



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH  
Docket No. 6124-10  
4 Oct 10

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 October 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CNRFC memo 5420 Ser N1/0966 of 14 Sep 10, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, that portion of your request pertaining to a \$7,500 "sign-on bonus" and Reserve benefits has been denied. The Board member's also considered your request for a personal appearance but determined the issues in the case were adequately documented and a personal appearance would not materially add to the Board's understanding of the issues involved. Thus, your request for a personal appearance has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. As noted in paragraph 2 of the advisory opinion, the portion of your request pertaining to the specifics of your discharge should be addressed to the Naval Discharge Review Board (NDRB).

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is also important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records.

Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure