



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG
Docket No: 7074-10
24 March 2011

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 March 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You reenlisted in the Marine Corps on 26 July 1986 after two years of honorable service. You received nonjudicial punishment on two occasions and were convicted by a summary court-martial. Your offenses included indecent exposure, absence from your appointed place of duty, wrongfully possessing alcoholic beverages in the barracks, and a 25 day period of unauthorized absence (UA). You later requested an under conditions other than honorable (OTH) discharge for the good of the service to avoid trial by court-martial for a 164 day period of UA. At that time, you consulted with qualified

military counsel and acknowledged the adverse consequences of receiving such a discharge. The separation authority approved your request for an under conditions OTH discharge. On 5 August 1988, you were separated with an under conditions OTH discharge for the good of the service to avoid trial by court-martial. As a result of this action, you were spared the stigma of a court-martial conviction and the potential penalties of a punitive discharge and confinement at hard labor.

The Board, in its review of your entire record, carefully considered all potential mitigation, such as your youth, prior honorable service, and belief that your discharge would be automatically upgraded in six months. Nevertheless, the Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge due to your serious acts of misconduct. Furthermore, the Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge to avoid trial by court-martial was approved. It was also clear to the Board that you received the benefit of your bargain with the Marine Corps when your request for discharge was granted and should not be permitted to change it now. You are advised that no discharge is automatically upgraded due merely to the passage of time or post service good conduct. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director