




DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TAL  
Docket No: 8475-10  
15 April 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 13 April 2011. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 27 February 1995 at age 20. On 30 September 2009, you were notified that you were being detached for cause (DFC) due to substandard performance. On 9 December 2009, you signed an Administrative Remarks counseling stating you were DFC and warned that further substandard performance could result in administrative separation. On 27 February 2010, you were honorably released from active duty. You were not recommended for retention or reenlistment and were assigned an RE-4 reentry code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your desire to change your reentry code for possible reenlistment in the armed forces. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant a change in your reentry code given the non-recommendation for reenlistment which was

sufficient to support the assignment of an RE-4. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

Each branch of the armed forces has established its own criteria for enlistment within the provisions of federal law. The reentry code assigned by the Navy is not binding upon the other services, which are free to accept or reject an application on the basis of their own standards. If another branch of service decides to waive your reentry code and accept you for enlistment, the Navy will not object.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director