



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 08650-10
14 June 2011

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 14 June 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps on 27 July 1948, and served without disciplinary incident until 11 October 1950, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for an unauthorized absence (UA) and failure to obey a lawful order. Shortly thereafter, you received the following disciplinary actions: on 1 May 1951, you were convicted at a summary court-martial of two specifications of UA; and on 22 July 1952, you were convicted at a special court-martial of three specifications of failure to obey a lawful order. On 26 September 1952, you were discharged from active duty due to the completion of your obligated service. Upon your separation, you received a general discharge.

Characterization of service is based in part on conduct marks assigned on a periodic basis. Your conduct average was 3.8. You needed a 4.0 average at the time of your separation for a fully

honorable characterization of service.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your service in the Korean War and belief that your offense did not warrant a general discharge. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the your misconduct and insufficiently high conduct mark average. Furthermore, the Board believed that considerable leniency was extended to you to allow you to be separated at the end of your obligated service with a general discharge instead of a lesser discharge due to a pattern of misconduct. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFIEFFER
Executive Director