



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

DJC
Docket No. 10847-10
22 Dec 10

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 21 December 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by NPC Memo 1160 Ser 811/579 dtd 27 Oct 10, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Regarding your request for a bonus, the Board found that no bonus was warranted for the reasons set out in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your request for a change that would entitle you to a bonus has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

Note: the advisory opinion also recommends that this Board expunge your reenlistment contract of 9 September 2010. Because you did not ask for that change, no action has been taken to expunge that reenlistment. If you desire a change to that enlistment contract, you may reapply to this Board.

DJC
Docket No. 10847-10
22 Dec 10

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure