



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

WJH  
1628-11  
2 June 2011

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.


A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 31 May 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with the administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by Headquarters Marine Corps letter 1800 MMSR-5 of 21 April 2011, a copy of which is attached. The Board also considered your reply to the advisory opinion dated 23 May 2011.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. The Board agreed that the points earned in one anniversary year should not be moved to another anniversary year. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are

entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is also important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director