



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

*Handwritten initials*

HD:hd  
Docket No. 05000-11  
17 February 2012

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552. You requested that the Physical Readiness Information Management System (PRIMS) data be corrected to show "passed" rather than "failed" for the Body Composition Assessment for Cycle 2, 2009 and Cycle 1, 2010.

It is noted that the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (OPNAV) N135 has deleted from PRIMs the Physical Fitness Assessment data for Cycle 2, 2009.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 16 February 2012. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by OPNAV N135 dated 21 June 2011, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application for relief beyond that affected by OPNAV N135 has been denied. The

\_\_\_\_\_

names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure