



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BJG  
Docket No: 1061-13  
22 October 2013



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 October 2013. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and entered active duty on 23 January 2008. On 5 February 2008, you were diagnosed with enuresis after four documented incidents of bed wetting at recruit training, which existed prior to enlistment. You were then processed for administrative separation due to erroneous entry (enuresis). On 25 February 2008, you were discharged with an uncharacterized entry level separation due to erroneous entry, and assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for reenlistment) reentry code.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and current desire to change your reentry code. However, the Board concluded that you were correctly assigned the RE-4 reentry code in light of your enuresis diagnosis and non-recommendation for reenlistment. You are advised that the mere passage of time or post service good conduct do not require the upgrade of a reentry code. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director