



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

JRE

Docket No. 2796-13

9 January 2014

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 January 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You served on active duty in the Navy from 12 to 22 August 1975 when you were discharged by reason of unsuitability due to enuresis, which is a condition not considered a disability under the laws administered by the military departments.

There is no indication in the available records that you were unfit for duty by reason of physical disability that was incurred in or aggravated by your 11 days of service. In

addition, that the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) awarded you a disability rating for anxiety almost forty years after you were discharged from the Navy was not considered probative of error or injustice in your naval record because the VA acted without regard to the issue of your fitness for naval service in 1975. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert D. Zsalmán".

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN  
Acting Executive Director