



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TAL
Docket No: 3251-13
21 February 2014

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 February 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You reenlisted in the Navy on 9 April 1988 after more than four years of prior honorable service. On 30 July 1996, you were arraigned in Newport News, Virginia, on two counts of forcible sodomy of an Air Force airman who later died. You were notified of pending administrative discharge processing with an other than honorable (OTH) discharge due to misconduct. After consulting with legal counsel, you elected to present your case to an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 16 January 1997, the ADB found that you committed misconduct and recommended that you be separated with an OTH discharge. Your commanding officer concurred with the ADB and forwarded his recommendation to the separation authority. On 14 March 1997, the separation

authority agreed with the recommendation of the ADB and directed your commanding officer to issue you an OTH discharge by reason of misconduct (commission of a serious offense) and on 14 March 1997, you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your prior honorable service. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given the seriousness of your misconduct that resulted in a conviction of serious civil charges. Finally, there is no provision of law or in Navy regulations that allows for recharacterization of service due solely to the passage of time. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT D. ZSALMAN
Acting Executive Director