



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

JET
Docket No. NR6389-13
4 Mar 14

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]


This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 March 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CNO Memo 7220 Ser N130D2/13U1135 of [REDACTED], a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In making this determination, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion and determined that the contract you signed obligating you for six years as a Nuclear-trained Machinist's Mate is correct. The Board made no ruling on your request to increase your Enlistment Bonus from \$11,000 to \$12,000, because it was noted that your Electronic Service Record (ESR) contains a corrected Annex C (see enclosed copy) which allows for payment of the \$12,000 bonus once you have completed training and are designated as a Nuclear-trained Machinist's Mate. PERS-811 has also confirmed you have already been paid \$3,960.00 of the \$12,000 enlistment bonus. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT D. ZSALMAN
Acting Executive Director

Enclosure: 1. CNO Memo 7220 Ser N130D2/13U1135 of [REDACTED]
2. Annex C to DD Form 4 dated [REDACTED]